

AUX NAHUATL

Tekakutli

This document's nomenclature is as follows:

- nahuatl = the original nahuatl (in contrast to the one known as *classical nahuatl* and contemporary derivatives)
- english = every language easily translatable to english, like spanish

WHAT IS THIS?

This is a claim.

An investigation to unveil the original and hidden mechanisms of nahuatl.

This document is in english because of practicality.

It may be easier for a mexican to learn it but by useful I mean it in a global sense.

TLDR: WHAT IS THE CLAIM?

Nahuatl is oligosynthetic.

There's only 18 semantic-atoms, which happen to align with letters.

Every nahuatl idea(word-sentence) is built from them.

My current results can't be that far off from the mark, for me the next step is trying to convey this to a second mind.

WHAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT?

I'm seeking out partners.

WHAT PARTNERS?

I'm looking for minds not infected with linguistics, or with a healthy degree of immunity to it.

WHY DID YOU?

I don't really know why at first I got curious about nahuatl, but the more I saw it the more curious it became until one day it occurred to me that the sounds themselves had meaning. From there on I had to confirm my suspicion.

But it's not just my curiosity, I see practical value in it

PRACTICAL HOW?

a language where you can speak of things without having to name them, think about it, wouldn't such skill be powerful?

HOW?

The current question, I don't know.

Took me 2 years from nothing, but with my help it shouldn't take that long.

Sadly, the system only makes sense if you are looking at it from inside it.

So, what is the process then?

INSTRUCTIONS

bundle and dissect nahuatl words into sub units of sound.
assume that the same sub unit has the same meaning everywhere.
realize that it goes down to the letter

EXAMPLE

“ma”, whatever it’s meaning, has the same meaning everywhere.

RESULTS

- a: $0 \rightarrow 1$ (flow, add one at normal)
- o: in-vector at-ed operator
- i: $1 \rightarrow 0$ (ruler to zero once more)
- e: individuated, $0 \rightarrow 1$
- w: potential out, limit reached
- j: out-of-semanto and in-(plugged into)
- k: the one axionment from the all
- kw: (field-reaction to semanto) of kind
- tz: cast from
- ch: a unit of axis
- s: builded up from, semanto(s) swallowed
- x: defined from that fabric, becomes adjectiver
- l: in-(the wim-field (like gravity))
- tl: de-(in-contrast of), return to else
- n: semanto-source operand
- t: semanto-capture operator
- m: (in-seekage of) reversed pov
- p: provides-injected to other(the semanto) operand

THINGS TO NOTICE

Consonants are bundled in pairs, with each having the opposite meaning of the other.
These don’t have a singular dictionary-like meaning like words in english. I think of them more like chemicals reacting with each others.
I invented a word, semanto, it’s in practice the same as a singular letter and it’s context.

EXAMPLE

Let’s consider the case of the first word to analyze: nawatl, how did it get translated as “clean speech”?

1. N: the origin, in this case of sound.
2. W: in it’s totalness.
3. TL: return from it’s deviation.
4. A: something from the nothing .

PHONEMIC SCRIPT

This is orthogonal but definitely would come useful going forwards, I will recycle the one already popular:

- hu, w, u, v: w
- k, c, qu: k

- j, y: j
- kw: q
- tz: z
- ch: c
- tl: y

For convenience I've so far not used non ASCII characters.

I have seen tl represented with λ (lambda), so I'll go with "y", but I'm open to suggestions, as with anything.

With that we would have this instead:

- a: $0 \rightarrow 1$ (flow, add one at normal)
- o: in-vector at-ed operator
- i: $1 \rightarrow 0$ (ruler to zero once more)
- e: individuated, $0 \rightarrow 1$
- w: potential out, limit reached
- j: out-of-semanto and in-(plugged into)
- k: the one axionment from the all
- q: (field-reaction to semanto) of kind
- z: cast from
- c: a unit of axis
- s: builded up from, semanto(s) swallowed
- x: defined from that fabric, becomes adjectiver
- l: in-(the wim-field (like gravity))
- y: de-(in-contrast of), return to else
- n: semanto-source operand
- t: semanto-capture operator
- m: (in-seekage of) reversed pov
- p: provides-injected to other(the semanto) operand

NOTES

Obviously this is all you'd need, but not all I did; my personal notes are in the tens of thousands of lines, but the goal of this document is succeed at transferring the idea not showcasing my suffering.

AUXILIARY LANGUAGE

this wouldn't be a language that can be learned by learning the words, if my claim holds then it's better to treat it as a reverse-engineered conlang

THE CLAIM'S CONSEQUENCES

NOUN-LESS

The way I see it there's a chance that nouns (and possibly even names) didn't exist back then, and I'm at a point where it doesn't seem that insane.

POSSESSION-LESS

I outright claim that possession doesn't actually exist, it's something else that looks like it only in shape so it got mistaken as such.

WHY?

nahuatl-english translation is not really possible, equivalent sentences only are so in the same context

WHY THAT WHY? (AN HYPOTHESIS OF IT'S ORIGIN)

It's a language of a world without dictionaries, where books usage was rare.

A language that only makes sense if you already can see.

a world where most human interactions are local yet with continuous travel, across the millennia how do you agree to name things? you don't instead they eventually came up with shared brain atoms, idea spawners, micro verbs.

EXTRA HYPOTHESIS

NAMES ARE

God-names where used as context(tales) setters. An implication that follows is that the nahuatl language was the origin of the nahua creed.

INTELLIGIBILITY

a secondary claim of mine is that despite old nahuatl dialects being more divergent between themselves than today they were in fact more mutually intelligible (if not fully)

AUXILIARY LANGUAGE

an auxiliary language derived from nahuatl it's not a derivative, it's the original thing, but it can only be thought of as a novel independant thing by it's (current) speakers if spanish was just like this it would be paramount to learning a new language from scratch